

Domain-Specific Languages

Mathieu Acher

Maître de Conférences

mathieu.acher@irisa.fr

Material

<http://mathieuacher.com/teaching/MDE/>

Plan

- Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs)
 - Languages and abstraction gap
 - Examples and rationale
 - DSLs vs General purpose languages, taxonomy
- External DSLs
 - Grammar and parsing
 - Xtext
- DSLs, DSMLs, and (meta-)modeling

Contract

- Better understanding/source of inspiration of software languages and DSLs
 - Revisit of history and existing languages
- Foundations and practice of Xtext
 - State-of-the-art language workbench (Most Innovative Eclipse Project in 2010, mature and used in a variety of industries)
- Models and Languages
 - Perhaps a more concrete way to see models, metamodels and MDE (IDM in french)



What are DSLs

Where are DSLs

Why DSLs (will) matter

The (Hi)Story of Software Engineering / Computer Science

1937




Turing Machine

- Infinite tape divided into Cells (0 or 1)
- Read-Write Head
- Transition rules


Write a symbol
or move to left (>>) or right
(<<)

$\langle State_{current}, Symbol, State_{next}, Action \rangle$




Turing Machine



~ kind of state machine







Successor (add-one) function


assuming that number n as a block of $n+1$ copies of the symbol '1' on the tape (here, $n=3$)













































SI


































SI









Addition of $n+m$



The (Hi)Story of Software Engineering & Computer Science



Software Languages



Programming the Turing Machine

Why aren't we using tapes, states and transitions after all ?

Complex Systems

Distributed systems

Thousands of engineers/expertise

Web dev.

Large-scale systems


Critical Systems



Programming the Turing Machine

Why aren't we using tapes, states and transitions after all ?

You cannot be serious



SUBMIT A LINK

FEATURES REVIEWS PODCASTS VIDEO FORUMS MORE



Implementing a Turing machine in Excel

Cory Doctorow at 2:20 pm Fri, Sep 20, 2013

74

Like

142


Tweet



Submit

24

+1




Formulas are Turing complete

boing boing 2013 2013 GIFT GUIDE



AN ASTRONAUT'S GUIDE TO LIFE ON EARTH

Formulas are Turing complete



Youtube video <https://t.co/RTfJAXXYaX>

<http://fr.slideshare.net/Felienne/spreadsheets-are-code-online>

Quizz Time

← → ↻ socrative.com



Apps

Resources

About

Help

STUDENT LOGIN

TEACHER LOGIN

Welcome to Socrative!

Enter your teacher's room below

Room Name

Join Room

e9a8d603


Quizz Time

- #0 e9a8d603
- Why assembly language is not the mainstream language? Give five reasons
- Why spreadsheets are not used for building Google? Give three reasons

Programming the Turing Machine

**Why aren't we using tapes, states
and transitions after all ?**



Software Languages







**Not fun. Over complicated.
Hard to write and
understand. No abstractions.
Poor language constructs.
Tooling Support?**

Languages

Complex Systems







Instagram





What is a language?

- « A system of signs, symbols, gestures, or rules used in **communicating** »
- « The **special** vocabulary and usages of a scientific, professional, or other group »
- « A system of symbols and rules used for communication with or between computers. »



Architecture



Cartography




Biology








phthalocyanine

60	70	80	90	100
AGACCCCCAG	CAACCCCGG	GGGCGTCCG	CGTCGGTCGT	GTCGTGTGAT
160	170	180	190	200
AGACCCCGG	TACGAATGCC	GGTCCACCAA	CAACCCGTGG	GCTTCGCAGC
260	270	280	290	300
CTGCCGGGCA	TGTACAGTCC	TTGTCGGCAG	TTCTTCACACA	AGGAAGACAT
360	370	380	390	400
GGCTTGCTGG	GGCCCCCGCC	ACCAGCACTA	CAGACCTCCA	GTACGTCGTCG
460	470	480	490	500
GGCCTATCCC	ACGCTCGCCG	CCAGCCACAG	AGTTATGCTT	GCCGAGTACA
560	570	580	590	600
GAAGAGGTGG	CGCCGATGAA	GAGACTATTA	AAGCTCGGAA	ACAAGGTGGT
660	670	680	690	700
ATAGTGGTTA	ACTTCACCTC	CAGACTCTTC	GCTGATGAAC	TGGCCGCCCT
760	770	780	790	800
AAAAATATACA	GGCATTGGGC	CTGGGGTCCG	TATGCTCACG	TGAGACATCT
860	870	880	890	900
CCTGGAGGAG	GTTCCGCCCG	ACAGCCTGCG	CCTAACGCGG	ATGGATCCCT
960	970	980	990	1000
AGCAACACCC	AGCTAGCAGT	GCTACCCCCA	TTTTTTAGCC	GAAAGGATTC
1060	1070	Pvu II site		1090
TGCCGCAGCA	ACTGGGGCAC	GCTATTCCTGC	AGCAGCTGTT	GGTGTACCAC
1160	1170	1180	1190	1200
ACTTGATCTA	TATACCACCA	ATGTGTCATT	TATGGGGCGC	ACATATCGTC
1260	1270	1280	1290	1300
CTGTCCATGT	ACCTTTGTAT	CCTATCAGCC	TTGGTTCCCA	GGGGGTGTCT
1360	1370	1380	1390	1400
TGTTTGAGGG	GGTGGTGCCA	GATGAGGTGA	CCAGGATAGA	TCTCGACCAG
1460	1470	1480	1490	1500
TCAGAGTCTC	AGTTCTATAT	TTAATCTTGG	CCCCAGACTG	CACGTGTATG
1560	1570	1580	1590	1600
CGATTTGAAG	CGGGGGGGGT	ATGGCGTCAT	CTGATATPCT	GTCGGTTGCA
1660	1670	1680	1690	1700
AAAACTACC	GTCTACCTGC	CGGACACTGA	ACCCCTGGGTG	GTAGAGACCG
1760	1770	1780	1790	1800
AAGCTTCATC	GTGGTGCCCT	GCCCTCAAAT	TCTCACAAAG	GCTTGAGGAT

CTG.



Electronics



In Software Engineering


« Languages are the primary way in which system developers communicate, design and implement software systems »

General Purpose Languages

Assembly ?





COBOL ? LISP ? C ? C++ ?

Java? PHP ? C# ? Ruby ?



Google

twitter



Limits of General Purpose Languages (1)


- **Abstractions and notations** used are not natural/suitable for the stakeholders



```
if (newGame) resources.free();
s = FILENAME + 3;
setLocation(); load(s);
loadDialog.process();

try { setGamerColor(RED); }
catch(Exception e) { reset(); }
while (notReady) { objects.make();
if (resourceNotFound) break; }

byte result; // сменить на int!
music();
System.out.print("");
```



Limits of General Purpose Languages (2)

- Not targeted to a **particular** kind of problem, but to any kinds of software problem.



Domain Specific Languages

- Targeted to a **particular** kind of problem, with dedicated notations (textual or graphical), support (editor, checkers, etc.)
- Promises: more « efficient » languages for resolving a set of specific problems in a domain



Domain Specific Languages (DSLs)

- Long history: used for almost as long as computing has been done.
- You're using DSLs in a daily basis
- You've learnt many DSLs in your curriculum
- Examples to come!

HTML

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="iso-8859-1"?>
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML 1.0 Transitional//EN" "DTD/xhtml1-transitional.dtd">
<html xml:lang="en" lang="en" xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml">
  <head>
    <title>Hello World</title>
  </head>
  <body>
    <p>My first Web page.</p>
  </body>
</html>
```

Domain: web (markup)

CSS

```
.CodeMirror {  
  line-height: 1;  
  position: relative;  
  overflow: hidden;  
}  
  
.CodeMirror-scroll {  
  /* 30px is the magic margin used to hide the element's real scrollbars */  
  /* See overflow: hidden in .CodeMirror, and the paddings in .CodeMirror-sizer */  
  margin-bottom: -30px; margin-right: -30px;  
  padding-bottom: 30px; padding-right: 30px;  
  height: 100%;  
  outline: none; /* Prevent dragging from highlighting the element */  
  position: relative;  
}  
  
.CodeMirror-sizer {  
  position: relative;  
}
```

Domain: web (styling)

SQL

```
SELECT Book.title AS Title,  
       COUNT(*) AS Authors  
FROM   Book  
JOIN   Book_author  
       ON Book.isbn = Book_author.isbn  
GROUP BY Book.title;  
  
INSERT INTO example  
(field1, field2, field3)  
VALUES  
( 'test' , 'N' , NULL );
```

Domain: database (query)

Makefile

```
PACKAGE      = package
VERSION      = `date "+%Y.%m%d%"`
RELEASE_DIR  = ..
RELEASE_FILE = ${PACKAGE}-${VERSION}

# Notice that the variable LOGNAME comes from the environment in
# POSIX shells.
#
# target: all - Default target. Does nothing.
all:
    echo "Hello ${LOGNAME}, nothing to do by default"
    # sometimes: echo "Hello ${LOGNAME}, nothing to do by default"
    echo "Try 'make help'"

# target: help - Display callable targets.
help:
    egrep "^# target:" [Mm]akefile

# target: list - List source files
list:
    # Won't work. Each command is in separate shell
    cd src
    ls

    # Correct, continuation of the same shell
    cd src; \
    ls
```

Domain: software building

Lighttpd configuration file

```
server.document-root = "/var/www/servers/www.example.org/pages/"

server.port = 80

server.username = "www"
server.groupname = "www"


mimeassign = (
    ".html" => "text/html",
    ".txt"  => "text/plain",
    ".jpg"  => "image/jpeg",
    ".png"  => "image/png"
)

static-file.exclude-extensions = ( ".fcgi", ".php", ".rb", "~", ".inc" )
index-file.names = ( "index.html" )
```

Domain: web server (configuration)

Graphviz

```
digraph G {  
main -> parse -> execute;  
main -> init;  
main -> cleanup;  
execute -> make_string;  
execute -> printf;  
init -> make_string;  
main -> printf;  
execute -> compare;  
}
```




Domain: graph (drawing)

PGN (Portable Game Notation)

```
[Event "F/S Return Match"]  
[Site "Belgrade, Serbia Yugoslavia|JUG"]  
[Date "1992.11.04"]  
[Round "29"]  
[White "Fischer, Robert J."]  
[Black "Spassky, Boris V."]  
[Result "1/2-1/2"]
```

```
1. e4 e5 2. Nf3 Nc6 3. Bb5 {This opening is called the Ruy Lopez.} 3... a6  
4. Ba4 Nf6 5. O-O Be7 6. Re1 b5 7. Bb3 d6 8. c3 O-O 9. h3 Nb8 10. d4 Nbd7  
11. c4 c6 12. cxb5 axb5 13. Nc3 Bb7 14. Bg5 b4 15. Nb1 h6 16. Bh4 c5 17. dxe5  
Nxe4 18. Bxe7 Qxe7 19. exd6 Qf6 20. Nbd2 Nxd6 21. Nc4 Nxc4 22. Bxc4 Nb6  
23. Ne5 Rae8 24. Bxf7+ Rxf7 25. Nxf7 Rxe1+ 26. Qxe1 Kxf7 27. Qe3 Qg5 28. Qxg5  
hxg5 29. b3 Ke6 30. a3 Kd6 31. axb4 cxb4 32. Ra5 Nd5 33. f3 Bc8 34. Kf2 Bf5  
35. Ra7 g6 36. Ra6+ Kc5 37. Ke1 Nf4 38. g3 Nxh3 39. Kd2 Kb5 40. Rd6 Kc5 41. Ra6  
Nf2 42. g4 Bd3 43. Re6 1/2-1/2
```



Domain: chess (games)

Regular expression

```
<TAG\b[^>]*>(.*?)</TAG>
```

Domain: strings (pattern matching)

Quizz Time

- #1 e9a8d603
- Give three examples of domain-specific languages (DSLs)

OCL


```
self.questions->size
self.employer->size
self.employee->select (v | v.wages>10000 )->size
Student.allInstances
  ->forall( p1, p2 |
    p1 <> p2 implies p1.name <> p2.name )
```

Domain: model management

UML can be seen as a collection of domain-specific modeling languages

Structural

Behavioral



Abstraction Gap

Assembler

C, Java

DSLs

Problem Space

orange™



Google

twitter



Solution Space

« Another lesson we should have learned from the recent past is that the development of 'richer' or 'more powerful' programming languages was a mistake in the sense that these baroque monstrosities, these conglomerations of idiosyncrasies, are really unmanageable, both mechanically and mentally.

aka General-Purpose Languages

I see a great future for very systematic and very modest programming languages »

aka Domain-Specific Languages

1972

ACM Turing Lecture, « The Humble Programmer »
Edsger W. Dijkstra

Empirical Assessment of MDE in Industry

John Hutchinson, Jon Whittle, Mark Rouncefield

School of Computing and Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.hutchinson, j.n.whittle,
m.rouncefield}@lancaster.ac.uk

Steinar Kristoffersen

Østfold University College and Møreforskning Molde AS
NO-1757 Halden
Norway
+47 6921 5000

steinar.kristoffersen@hiof.no

Model-Driven Engineering Practices in Industry

John Hutchinson

School of Computing and
Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.hutchinson@lancaster.ac.uk}

Mark Rouncefield

School of Computing and
Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{m.rouncefield@lancaster.ac.uk}

Jon Whittle

School of Computing and
Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.n.whittle@lancaster.ac.uk}

2011

« **Domain-specific
languages** are far more
prevalent than
anticipated »

The Addison-Wesley Signature Series



A MARTIN FOWLER SIGNATURE
BOOK
Martin

DOMAIN- SPECIFIC LANGUAGES

MARTIN FOWLER
WITH REBECCA PARSONS



2011



What is a domain-specific language ?

- « Language **specially** designed to perform a task in a **certain domain** »
- « A formal processable language targeting at a **specific viewpoint or aspect** of a software system. Its **semantics and notation** is designed in order to support working with that viewpoint as good as possible »
- « A computer language that's targeted to a particular kind of problem, **rather than a general purpose language** that's aimed at any kind of software problem. »

GPL (General Purpose Language)

A GPL provides notations that are used to describe a computation in a human-readable form that can be translated into a machine-readable representation.

A GPL is a formal notation that can be used to describe problem solutions in a precise manner.

A GPL is a notation that can be used to write programs.

A GPL is a notation for expressing computation.

A GPL is a standardized communication technique for expressing instructions to a computer. It is a set of syntactic and semantic rules used to define computer programs.

Promises of domain-specific languages


Higher
abstractions

Avoid
redundancy

Separation
of concerns

Use domain
concepts

Promises of domain-specific languages



GeneralPL vs DomainSL

The boundary isn't as clear as it could be. Domain-specificity is not black-and-white, but instead gradual: a language is more or less domain specific



	GPLs	DSLs
Domain	large and complex	smaller and well-defined
Language size	large	small
Turing completeness	always	often not
User-defined abstractions	sophisticated	limited
Execution	via intermediate GPL	native
Lifespan	years to decades	months to years (driven by context)
Designed by	guru or committee	a few engineers and domain experts
User community	large, anonymous and widespread	small, accessible and local
Evolution	slow, often standardized	fast-paced
Deprecation/incompatible changes	almost impossible	feasible

Quizz Time

- #2 e9a8d603
- Take one DSL and formulate assumptions on their qualities (and superiority to a GPL-based solution)
- Imagine an experience for providing evidence that the DSL has such qualities

External DSLs vs Internal DSLs

- An **external** DSL is a completely separate language and has its own custom syntax/tooling support (e.g., editor)
- An internal DSL is more or less a set of APIs written on top of a host language (e.g., Java).
 - Fluent interfaces


External vs Internal DSL (SQL example)

```
-- Select all books by authors born after 1920,  
-- named "Paulo" from a catalogue:  
SELECT *  
  FROM t_author a  
  JOIN t_book b ON a.id = b.author_id  
 WHERE a.year_of_birth > 1920  
       AND a.first_name = 'Paulo'  
 ORDER BY b.title
```

```
Result<Record> result =  
create.select()  
  .from(T_AUTHOR.as("a"))  
  .join(T_BOOK.as("b")).on(a.ID.equal(b.AUTHOR_ID))  
  .where(a.YEAR_OF_BIRTH.greaterThan(1920)  
  .and(a.FIRST_NAME.equal("Paulo")))  
  .orderBy(b.TITLE)  
  .fetch();
```

Internal DSL (LINQ/C# example)

```
// DataContext takes a connection string
DataContext db = new    DataContext("c:\\northwind\\northwnd.mdf");
// Get a typed table to run queries
Table<Customer> Customers = db.GetTable<Customer>();
// Query for customers from London
var q =
    from c in Customers
    where c.City == "London"
    select c;
foreach (var cust in q)
    Console.WriteLine("id = {0}, City = {1}", cust.CustomerID, cust.City);
```



Internal DSL

- « Using a host language (e.g., Java) to give the host language the feel of a particular language. »
- **Fluent Interfaces**
 - « The more the use of the API has that language like flow, the more fluent it is »

```
Result<Record> result =
create.select()
    .from(T_AUTHOR.as("a"))
    .join(T_BOOK.as("b")).on(a.ID.equal(b.AUTHOR_ID))
    .where(a.YEAR_OF_BIRTH.greaterThan(1920)
    .and(a.FIRST_NAME.equal("Paulo")))
    .orderBy(b.TITLE)
    .fetch();
```

```
-- Select all books by authors born after 1920,
-- named "Paulo" from a catalogue:
SELECT *
FROM t_author a
JOIN t_book b ON a.id = b.author_id
WHERE a.year_of_birth > 1920
AND a.first_name = 'Paulo'
ORDER BY b.title
```

SQL in... Java

DSL in GPL

```
Connection con = null;

// create sql insert query
String query = "insert into user values(" + student.getId() + ", '"
    + student.getFirstName() + "', '" + student.getLastName()
    + "', '" + student.getEmail() + "', '" + student.getPhone()
    + "')";
try {
    // get connection to db
    con = new CreateConnection().getConnection("checkjdbc", "root",
        "root");

    // get a statement to execute query
    stmt = con.createStatement();

    // executed insert query
    stmt.execute(query);
    System.out.println("Data inserted in table !");
```

Regular expression in... Java

DSL in GPL

```
public class RegexTestStrings {
    public static final String EXAMPLE_TEST = "This is my small example "
        + "string which I'm going to " + "use for pattern matching.";

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(EXAMPLE_TEST.matches("\\w.*"));
        String[] splitString = (EXAMPLE_TEST.split("\\s+"));
        System.out.println(splitString.length); // Should be 14
        for (String string : splitString) {
            System.out.println(string);
        }
        // Replace all whitespace with tabs
        System.out.println(EXAMPLE_TEST.replaceAll("\\s+", "\t"));
    }
}
```

Internal DSLs vs External DSL

- Both internal and external DSLs have strengths and weaknesses
 - learning curve,
 - cost of building,
 - programmer familiarity,
 - communication with domain experts,
 - mixing in the host language,
 - strong expressiveness boundary
- Focus of the course
 - **external DSL** a completely separate language with its own custom syntax and tooling support (e.g., editor)

Quizz Time

- #3 e9a8d603
- Find a DSL that is both internal and external

SQL

Plain SQL
(external DSL)

shape
#1

```
1 |-- SQL
2 SELECT * FROM journal
3   WHERE published_year = 2013
4     AND publisher = 'IEEE'
5 ORDER BY title
```

Java
(internal DSL)

shape
#2

```
// JOOQ fluent API
ResultQuery q = create.selectFrom(JOURNAL)
    .where(PUBLISHED_YEAR.equal(2013)
    .and(PUBLISHER.equal("IEEE")))
    .orderBy(TITLE);
```

Scala
(internal DSL)

shape
#3

```
journals
  .filter(journal => journal.published_year === 2013
    && journal.publisher === "IEEE")
  .sortBy(_.title)
```


Homework

- Deadline: 17th october
 - email: mathieu.acher@irisa.fr
- We consider SQL. The exercise is to develop three examples of SQL queries in (1) plain-text language (2) with a corresponding internal « shape »
 - $3 * 2 = 6$
- Source code and instructions on how to execute the SQL queries

Plan

- Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs)
 - Languages and abstraction gap
 - Examples and rationale
 - DSLs vs General purpose languages, taxonomy
- External DSLs
 - Grammar and parsing
 - Xtext
- DSLs, DSMLs, and (meta-)modeling

Contract


- Better understanding/source of inspiration of software languages and DSLs
 - Revisit of history and existing languages
- **Foundations and practice of Xtext**
 - State-of-the-art language workbench (Most Innovative Eclipse Project in 2010, mature and used in a variety of industries)
- Models and Languages
 - Perhaps a more concrete way to see models, metamodels and MDE (IDM in french)

Xtext, a popular, easy-to-use model-based tool for developing DSLs

Your DSL in 5' (incl. editors and serializers)

Foundations (or some course refresh)

M^3



Java Grammar

M^2



```
CHARLITERAL
: '\u0000'
  | EscapeSequence
  ;
EscapeSequence
: '\\' ( 'b' | 't' | 'n' | 'f' | 'r' | '\n' )
  | '\\' ( 'u' | 'U' ) HexDigits
  ;
STRINGLITERAL
: '"' ( EscapeSequence | ~('\\' | '"' | '\n' | '\r' ) )* '"'
  ;
fragment
EscapeSequence
: '\\' ( 'b' | 't' | 'n' | 'f' | 'r' | '\n' )
  | '\\' ( 'u' | 'U' ) HexDigits
  ;
```


```
classOrInterfaceDeclaration
: classDeclaration
  | interfaceDeclaration
  ;

modifiers
: ( annotation
  | PUBLIC
  | PROTECTED
  | PRIVATE
  | STATIC
  | ABSTRACT
  | FINAL
  | NATIVE
  | SYNCHRONIZED
  | TRANSIENT
  | VOLATILE
  | STRICTFP
  )*
;

variableModifiers
: ( FINAL
  | annotation
  )*
;

classDeclaration
: normalClassDeclaration
  | enumDeclaration
  ;
```

M^1



Java Program


```
/*
 * *****
 */
public class HelloWorld {


    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println("Hello, World");
    }

}
```

Compilation Process

- Source code
 - Concrete syntax used for specifying a program
 - Conformant to a grammar
- Lexical analysis
 - Converting a sequence of characters into a sequence of **tokens**
- Parsing (Syntactical analysis)
 - Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)





The Definitive ANTLR Reference

Building Domain-Specific Languages



Terence Parr

```

CHARLITERAL
:
(
  EscapeSequence
  ~ ( '\\' | '\'' | '\r' | '\n' )
)
;

STRINGLITERAL
:
(
  EscapeSequence
  ~ ( '\\' | '\'' | '\r' | '\n' )
)*
;

fragment
EscapeSequence
:
  '\\' (
    'b'
    't'
    'n'
    'f'
    'r'
    '\n'
  )

```

```


classOrInterfaceDeclaration
:
  classDeclaration
  | interfaceDeclaration
;

modifiers
:
(
  annotation
  PUBLIC
  PROTECTED
  PRIVATE
  STATIC
  ABSTRACT
  FINAL
  NATIVE
  SYNCHRONIZED
  TRANSIENT
  VOLATILE
  STRICTFP
)*
;

variableModifiers
:
(
  FINAL
  | annotation
)*
;

classDeclaration
:
  normalClassDeclaration
  | enumDeclaration



```




EXEMPLE

```
function foo() {  
    echo «Hello, World !»;  
}
```

(Syntaxe concrète)




Compilation (en français)



DSL? The same!

M^3




M^2




DSL Grammar

M^1



DSL specification/
program

UNIX Programming Tools



lex & yacc

O'REILLY™

*John R. Levine,
Tony Mason & Doug Brown*

The Pragmatic
Programmers


The Definitive ANTLR Reference

Building Domain-
Specific Languages



Terence Parr




M^3




M^2



M^1



Language and MDE



xtext

Give me a **grammar**,


I'll give you (for free)

- * a comprehensive editor (auto-completion, syntax highlighting, etc.) in Eclipse

- * an Ecore metamodel and facilities to load/serialize/visit conformant models (Java ecosystem)

- * extension to override/extend « default » facilities (e.g., checker)

Xtext, Grammar, Metamodel




Xtext Project

- Eclipse Project
 - Part of Eclipse Modeling
 - Part of Open Architecture Ware
- Model-driven development of Textual DSLs
- Part of a family of languages
 - **Xtext**
 - Xtend
 - Xbase
 - Xpand
 - Xcore



Eclipse Modeling Project




The Grammar Language of Xtext

- Corner-stone of Xtext
- A... DSL to define textual languages
 - Describe the concrete syntax
 - Specify the mapping between concrete syntax and domain model
- From the grammar, it is generated:
 - The domain model
 - The parser
 - The tooling

Main Advantages

- Consistent look and feel
- Textual DSLs are a resource in Eclipse
- Open editors can be extended
- Complete framework to develop DSLs
- Easy to connect to any Java-based language


Development Process



OPTIONAL

Motivating Scenario

- Poll System application
 - Define a Poll with the corresponding questions
 - Each question has a text and a set of options
 - Each option has a text
- Generate the application in different platforms




Motivating Scenario (2)

DSL Tooling

```
PollSystem {  
  Poll Quality {  
    Question q1 {  
      "Value the user experience"  
      options {  
        A : "Bad"  
        B : "Fair"  
        C : "Good"  
      }  
    }  
    Question q2 {  
      "Value the layout"  
      options {  
        A : "It was not easy to locate elements"  
        B : "I didn't realize"  
        C : "It was easy to locate elements"  
      }  
    }  
  }  
  Poll Performance {  
    Question q1 {  
      "Value the time response"  
      options {  
        A : "Bad"  
        B : "Fair"  
        C : "Good"  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```



Generator



Grammar Definition

Grammar definition




```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"

PollSystem:
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';

Question:
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```



Grammar Definition

Grammar
reuse


```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"

PollSystem:
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';

Question:
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```



Grammar Definition

Derived
metamodel




```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"

PollSystem:
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';

Question:
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```




Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"
```



Parser Rules

```
→ PollSystem:
   'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;
→ Poll:
   'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';
→ Question:
   'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;
→ Option:
   id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"
```



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"

PollSystem:
    'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
    'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';


Question:
    'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
    id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```

← Multivalue assignment

← Simple assignment

?= Boolean assignment



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"


PollSystem:
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';

Question:
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```

← Cardinality (others: * ?)



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
```

```
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"
```


```
PollSystem:  
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;
```

```
Poll:  
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}' ;
```

```
Question:  
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;
```

```
Option:  
  id=ID ':' text=STRING ;
```

Containment



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"


PollSystem:
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';

Question:
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```

```
PollSystem {
  Poll Quality {
    Question q1 {
      "Value the user experience"
      options {
        A : "Bad"
        B : "Fair"
        C : "Good"
      }
    }
    Question q2 {
      "Value the layout"
      options {
        A : "It was not easy to locate elements"
        B : "I didn't realize"
        C : "It was easy to locate elements"
      }
    }
  }
  Poll Performance {
    Question q1 {
      "Value the time response"
      options {
        A : "Bad"
        B : "Fair"
        C : "Good"
      }
    }
  }
}
```



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
```

```
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"
```


```
PollSystem:  
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;
```

```
Poll:  
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}' ;
```

```
Question:  
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;
```

```
Option:  
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```

```
PollSystem {  
  Poll Quality {  
    Question q1 {  
      "Value the user experience"  
      options {  
        A : "Bad"  
        B : "Fair"  
        C : "Good"  
      }  
    }  
    Question q2 {  
      "Value the layout"  
      options {  
        A : "It was not easy to locate elements"  
        B : "I didn't realize"  
        C : "It was easy to locate elements"  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}  
Poll Performance {  
  Question q1 {  
    "Value the time response"  
    options {  
      A : "Bad"  
      B : "Fair"  
      C : "Good"  
    }  
  }  
}
```



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
```

```
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"
```


```
PollSystem:  
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;
```

```
Poll:  
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}' ;
```

```
Question:  
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+'}' '}' ;
```

```
Option:  
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```

```
PollSystem {  
  Poll Quality {  
    Question q1 {  
      "Value the user experience"  
      options {  
        A : "Bad"  
        B : "Fair"  
        C : "Good"  
      }  
    }  
    Question q2 {  
      "Value the layout"  
      options {  
        A : "It was not easy to locate elements"  
        B : "I didn't realize"  
        C : "It was easy to locate elements"  
      }  
    }  
  }  
  Poll Performance {  
    Question q1 {  
      "Value the time response"  
      options {  
        A : "Bad"  
        B : "Fair"  
        C : "Good"  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```



Grammar Definition

```
grammar fr.miage.xtext.Poll with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals
generate poll "http://www.miage.fr/xtext/Poll"


PollSystem:
  'PollSystem' '{' polls+=Poll+ '}' ;

Poll:
  'Poll' name=ID '{' questions+=Question+'}';

Question:
  'Question' id=ID '{' text=STRING 'options' '{' options+=Option+ '}' '}' ;

Option:
  id=ID ':' text=STRING;
```

```
PollSystem {
  Poll Quality {
    Question q1 {
      "Value the user experience"
      options {
        A : "Bad"
        B : "Fair"
        C : "Good"
      }
    }
    Question q2 {
      "Value the layout"
      options {
        A : "It was not easy to locate elements"
        B : "I didn't realize"
        C : "It was easy to locate elements"
      }
    }
  }
  Poll Performance {
    Question q1 {
      "Value the time response"
      options {
        A : "Bad"
        B : "Fair"
        C : "Good"
      }
    }
  }
}
```




Xtext, your DSL in
5' (incl. editors and
serializers)

Live Demonstration

Another example:

Chess

“Queen to c7.
Check.”



“Rd2-c2,
rook at d2 moves to c2.”

Moves in Chess:

Rook at a1 moves to a5.

Piece

Square

Action

Destination

Bishop at c8 captures knight at h3.

Piece

Square

Action

Destination

N b1 x c3

Pieces

Square

Action

Destination

g2 - g4

Square

Action

Destination

Bishop at c8 captures knight at h3

B c8 x h3



P e2 – e4

p g7 – g5

Knight at b2 moves to c3

pawn at f7 moves to f5


Q d1 – h5

1-0

Concrete Syntax

Constraints !!!

Abstract Syntax



Chess Example - Grammar

Game:

```
"White:" whitePlayer=STRING
"Black:" blackPlayer=STRING
(moves+=Move)+;
```

Move:

```
AlgebraicMove | SpokenMove;
```

AlgebraicMove:

```
(piece=Piece)? source=Square (captures?='x' | '-' ) dest=Square;
```

SpokenMove:

```
piece=Piece 'at' source=Square
(captures?='captures' capturedPiece=Piece 'at' | 'moves to')
dest=Square;
```

terminal Square:

```
('a'..'h') ('1'..'8');
```

enum Piece:

```
pawn    = 'P' | pawn = 'pawn' |
knight  = 'N' | knight = 'knight' |
bishop  = 'B' | bishop = 'bishop' |
rook    = 'R' | rook = 'rook' |
queen   = 'Q' | queen = 'queen' |
king    = 'K' | king = 'king';
```

Chess Example - Model

White: "Mayfield"

Black: "Trinks"

pawn at e2 moves to e4

pawn at f7 moves to g5

K b1 - c3

f7 - f5

queen at d1 moves to h5


// 1-0

From Metamodel

To

Grammar (other side)

From Metamodel to Grammar



xtext

Give me a **metamodel**,

I'll give you (for free)

- * a comprehensive editor (auto-completion, syntax highlighting, etc.) in Eclipse

- * a grammar and facilities to load/serialize/visit conformant models (Java ecosystem)

- * extension to override/extend « default » facilities (e.g., checker)

xtext

Give me a **metamodel**,

The grammar can be « weird » (i.e., not as concise and as comprehensible than if you made it manually)

[Same observation actually applies to the other side: generated metamodels (from grammar) can be weird as well, but you have at least some control in Xtext-based grammar]

[We will experiment in the lab sessions]

Live

Demonstration

Graphical DSL

(vs Textual DSL)

Graphical vs Textual DSLs

- Success depends on how the notation fits the domain



```
class Person {  
  private String name;  
  private String name;  
}
```

```
Person has (name, surname)
```

Person


name : string
surname : string

- Graphical DSLs are not always easier to understand




phthalocyanine

A language can be graphical and textual




Alternative representation


```
digraph G {  
main -> parse -> execute;  
main -> init;  
main -> cleanup;  
execute -> make_string;  
execute -> printf;  
init -> make_string;  
main -> printf;  
execute -> compare;  
}
```




Recommendations for Graphical DSLs



Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Recommendations for Graphical DSLs



Recommendations for Graphical DSLs


Semiotic clarity



Expressiveness





















Integration

Recommendations for Graphical DSLs



Recommendations for Graphical DSLs


Semiotic clarity

Aggregation	Association (navigable)	Association (non-navigable)	Association class relationship	Composition
				
Constraint	Dependency	Generalisation	Generalisation set	Interface (provided)
				
Interface (required)	N-ary association	Note reference	Package containment	Package import (public)
				
Package import (private)	Package merge	Realisation	Substitution	Usage
				

Visual Expressiveness


Cognitive Integration

Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Use visual representations whose appearance suggests their meaning


Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Recommendations for Graphical DSLs



Recommendations for Graphical DSLs



Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Cognitive Economy

Graphic Economy

Physics

Semantics transparency



Visual Expressiveness

Cognitive Integration


Recommendations for Graphical DSLs

Diagram Type	X	Y	Size	Brightness	Colour	Shape	Texture	Orientation
Activity	●	●		●	Specifically prohibited	●		
Class				●		●		
Communication				●		●		
Component				●		●		
Composite structure				●		●		
Deployment				●		●		
Interaction overview				●		●		
Object				●		●		
Package				●		●		
Sequence	●					●		
State machine				●		●		
Timing	●	●						
Use case	●					●		

Visual Expressiveness


Cognitive Integration

Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Use text to complement graphics

Recommendations for Graphical DSLs




Recommendations for Graphical DSLs



The number of different graphical symbols should be cognitively manageable


Recommendations for Graphical DSLs

Use different visual dialects for different tasks and audiences




Graphical Modeling Framework (GMF)

- Model-Driven Framework to develop graphical editors based on EMF and GEF
- GMF is part of Eclipse Modeling Project
- Provides a generative component to create the DSL tooling
- Provides a runtime infrastructure to facilitate the development of graphical DSLs




GMF

- Eclipse project
 - Eclipse Modelling components
 - Uses
 - EMF (Eclipse Modeling Framework)
 - GEF (Graphical Editing Framework)
- Model-driven framework for Graphical DSLs
 - Everything is a model
- DSL definition easy, tweaking hard



Eclipse Modeling Project




GMF features

- Tooling
 - Editors for notation, semantic and tooling
 - GMF Dashboard
 - Generator to produce the DSL implementation
- Runtime
 - Generated DSLs depend on the GMF Runtime to produce an extensible graphical editor


Main Advantages

- Consistent look and feel
- Diagram persistence
- Open editors can be extended by third-parties
- Already integrated with various Eclipse components
- Extensible notation metamodel to enable the isolation of notation from semantic concerns
- Future community enhancements will easily be integrated


Development Process



Development Process




Example (Graphical Notation)





Poll System Metamodel

- Concepts
 - PollSystem
 - Poll
 - Question
 - Option
- Attributes
 - A Poll has a name
 - A Question has an identifier and a descriptive text
 - An Option has an identifier and a descriptive text
- Relationships
 - PollSystem is composed of polls and questions
 - Question has a set of options



Graphical Definition

- A model will represent a PollSystem
- A Poll will be a node
- A Question will be a rectangular node
- An Option will be a rectangular node included in the Question node



Plan

- Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs)
 - Languages and abstraction gap
 - Examples and rationale
 - DSLs vs General purpose languages, taxonomy
- External DSLs
 - Grammar and parsing
 - Xtext
- DSLs, DSMLs, and (meta-)modeling

Contract

- Better understanding/source of inspiration of software languages and DSLs
 - Revisit of history and existing languages
- Foundations and practice of Xtext
 - State-of-the-art language workbench (Most Innovative Eclipse Project in 2010, mature and used in a variety of industries)
- **Models and Languages**
 - Perhaps a more concrete way to see models, metamodels and MDE (IDM in french)

DSL,

Model,

Metamodel,

Summary

Abstraction Gap




Problem space
domain-specific
language

Transformation

Solution space
implementation
language



ANDROID



Models/MDE

- In essence, a model is an **abstraction** of some aspect of a system under study.
- Some details are hidden or removed to **simplify** and focus attention.
- A model is an abstraction since **general** concepts can be formulated by abstracting common properties of instances or by extracting common features from specific examples
- **(Domain-specific) Languages** enable the specification or execution of models


Generative approach

- Programming the generation of programs
 - Very old practice
 - Metaprogramming: generative language and target language are the same
 - Reflection capabilities
- Generalization of this idea:
 - from a specification written in one or more textual or graphical domain-specific languages
 - you generate customized variants

Grammar

```
machineDefinition:  
  MACHINE OPEN_SEP stateList  
  transitionList CLOSE_SEP;  
  
stateList:  
  state (COMMA state)*;  
  
state:  
  ID_STATE;  
  
transitionList:  
  transition (COMMA transition)*;  
  
transition:  
  ID_TRANSITION OPEN_SEP  
  state state CLOSE_SEP;  
  
MACHINE: 'machine';  
OPEN_SEP: '{';  
CLOSE_SEP: '}';  
COMMA: ',';  
ID_STATE: 'S' ID;  
ID_TRANSITION: 'T' (0..9)+;  
ID: (a..zA..Z_) (a..zA..Z0..9)*;
```

MetaModel




conforms To

```
machine {  
  SOne STwo  
  T1 { SOne STwo }  
}
```


conforms To

Source Code/Model

Model, Metamodel, Metametamodel, DSML






M^3




M^2




M^1



Language and MDE



MDE, Grammar: there and back again



Empirical Assessment of MDE in Industry

John Hutchinson, Jon Whittle, Mark Rouncefield

School of Computing and Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.hutchinson, j.n.whittle,
m.rouncefield}@lancaster.ac.uk

Steinar Kristoffersen

Østfold University College and Møreforskning Molde AS
NO-1757 Halden
Norway
+47 6921 5000

steinar.kristoffersen@hiof.no

Model-Driven Engineering Practices in Industry

John Hutchinson

School of Computing and
Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.hutchinson@lancaster.ac.uk}

Mark Rouncefield

School of Computing and
Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{m.rouncefield@lancaster.ac.uk}

Jon Whittle


School of Computing and
Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.n.whittle@lancaster.ac.uk}

2011


« **Domain-specific
languages** are far more
prevalent than
anticipated »

What are models used for?




“Do not use” percentages for MDE activities

Which modeling languages do you use?



Which diagrams are used?



19 different diagram types are used regularly


Use of multiple languages (DSLs)

- 62% of those using custom DSLs also use UML
- Almost all users of SysML and BPMN also use UML
- UML is the most popular ‘single use’ language
 - 38% of all respondents
- UML used in combination with just about every combination of modeling languages
 - 14% of UML users combine with vendor DSL
 - 6% with both custom and vendor DSL

UML can be seen as a collection of domain-specific modeling languages

Structural

Behavioral



Xtext is built using MDE technologies



xtext

Xtext (and alternatives) democratize DSL development

My 3 take away messages

#1 DSLs are important (as intuited for a long time - it will become more and more apparent)

#2 DSL technology is here (no excuse)

#3 MDE meets language engineering


But my take away
message is NOT

That DSLs should be used
systematically, in every
situations


When Developing DSLs?

- Tradeoff cost/time of development versus productivity gained for solving problems
 - If you use your DSL for resolving one problem, just one time, hum...
 - DSL: reusable, systematic means to resolve a specific task in a given domain
- DSL development can pay off quickly
 - 5' you can get a DSL
- But DSL development can be time-consuming and numerous worst practices exists

Actors



Developers



End-Users

Best Practices

Limit
Expressiveness

Viewpoints

Evolution

Learn from
GPLs

Support

Tooling

Worst Practices

- Initial conditions
 - Only Gurus allowed
 - Believe that only gurus can build languages or that “I’m smart and don’t need help”
 - Lack of Domain Understanding
 - Insufficiently understanding the problem domain or the solution domain
 - Analysis paralysis
 - Wanting the language to be theoretically complete, with its implementation assured

Worst Practices

- The source for Language Concepts
 - UML: New Wine in Old Wineskins
 - Extending a large, general-purpose modeling language
 - 3GL Visual Programming
 - Duplicating the concepts and semantics of traditional programming languages
 - Code: The Library is the Language
 - Focusing the language on the current code's technical details
 - Tool: if you have a hammer
 - Letting the tool's technical limitations dictate language development

Worst Practices

- The resulting language
 - Too Generic / Too Specific
 - Creating a language with a few generic concepts or too many specific concepts, or a language that can create only a few models
 - Misplaced Emphasis
 - Too strongly emphasizing a particular domain feature
 - Sacred at Birth
 - Viewing the initial language version as unalterable

Worst Practices

- Language Notation
 - Predetermined Paradigm
 - Choosing the wrong representational paradigm or the basis of a blinkered view
 - Simplistic Symbols
 - Using symbols that are too simple or similar or downright ugly

Worst Practices


- Language Use
 - Ignoring the use process
 - Failing to consider the language's real-life usage
 - No training
 - Assuming everyone understands the language like its creator
 - Pre-adoption Stagnation
 - Letting the language stagnate after successful adoption

Questions ?

(see also resources and
lab sessions)

[http://martinfowler.com/bliki/
DomainSpecificLanguage.html](http://martinfowler.com/bliki/DomainSpecificLanguage.html)

xtext



Empirical Assessment of MDE in Industry

John Hutchinson, Jon Whittle, Mark Rouncefield
School of Computing and Communications
Lancaster University, UK
+44 1524 510492

{j.hutchinson, j.n.whittle,
m.rouncefield}@lancaster.ac.uk

Steinar Kristoffersen
Østfold University College and Møreforskning Molde AS
NO-1757 Halden
Norway
+47 6921 5000

steinar.kristoffersen@hiof.no